



*Promoting Responsible Consumer Lending*

[www.nfsf.org.au](http://www.nfsf.org.au)

National Financial Service Federation Ltd

Submission  
to

## **Personal Property Securities Bill 2008**

Attorney-General's Department  
Robert Garron Offices  
National Circuit  
BARTON ACT 2600

Submitted via email to  
[pps@ag.gov.au](mailto:pps@ag.gov.au)  
August 2008

Prepared by

Robert Legat  
Vice Chairman  
National Financial Services Federation Ltd  
PO Box 140  
Redcliffe QLD 4020  
P 07 3284 3246  
F 07 3009 0606  
M 0412 509010  
E [ceo@nfsf.org.au](mailto:ceo@nfsf.org.au)

## CONTENTS

| <u>Section</u> | <u>Topic</u>  | <u>Page No.</u> |
|----------------|---|-----------------|
| <b>1</b>       | <b>What is the National Financial Services Federation?</b>  | 1               |
| <b>2</b>       | <b>How the Personal Property Securities Bill 2008 will affect us</b>  | 2               |
| <b>3</b>       | <b>Answers to specific questions in the Commentary Paper</b>  | 2               |
| 3.1            | Are there any other kinds of transactions that should be excluded from the definition of security interest?   | 2               |
| 3.2            | Which State and Territory laws, if any, should be prescribed for the purposes of section 16 of the Bill?  | 2               |
| 3.3            | Are sections 14, 15 and 16 appropriate?   | 3               |
| 3.4            | Is section 86 required in light of the fact that section 81 will apply in most circumstances?   | 3               |
| 3.5            | When a security interest has been continuously perfected, initially by control and later by another method, should the priority time be ...           | 3               |
| 3.6            | Are the priority rules in section 24(5) appropriate?  | 3               |
| 3.7            | Should the Bill provide a safe harbour in relation to the requirements of section 100(1)(c)(i) and (iii) by providing that the notice may ...         | 4               |
| 3.8            | Should section 102(3) be included in the Bill?  | 4               |
| 3.9            | Various questions concerning transfers of secured goods: page 62 of the Commentary  | 4               |
| 3.10           | Should the Personal Property Securities Bill include provisions dealing with fixtures, along the lines of those included in the draft Bill?           | 5               |
| 3.11           | Is it appropriate to confer temporary perfection on commingled goods?   | 5               |
| 3.12           | Should parties to transactions involving inventory or equipment be able to contract out of these sections?  | 6               |
| 3.13           | Do these provisions provide adequate protection for the interests of the grantor and others with an interest in the collateral? In particular, ...    | 6               |
| 3.14           | Do the enforcement sections clearly establish the relationship between the Bill and the Code? Is the mechanism employed in section 167 effective ...  | 6               |
| 3.15           | Would the provisions allowing a higher ranked party to seize discourage subordinate parties from enforcing? Should the Bill provide that ...          | 6               |
| 3.16           | Should a person seeking to redeem property be required to pay the amounts required to discharge the obligations or should they be required to pay ... | 7               |
| 3.17           | Is the 5 years allowed to correct the register appropriate?   | 7               |
| 3.18           | Does a period of 5 business days provide secured parties with sufficient opportunity to consider whether a registration should be amended?            | 8               |
| 3.19           | Should the Registrar be able to initiate the change demand process by sending a change demand request to the secured creditor?                        | 8               |
| 3.20           | When the grantor is a sole trader, should it be possible to search against both the sole trader's name and the relevant ABN?                          | 8               |
| 3.21           | Does the common law provide a sufficient jurisdiction for courts to act in relation to security interests?  | 8               |
| <b>4</b>       | <b>Issues in the Bill and Commentary not covered in the questions</b>   | 9               |
| 4.1            | Limiting of amount realisable against collateral (section 64(4))  | 9               |
| 4.2            | Considerations of knowledge (sections 40, 41 and 42)  | 9               |
| 4.3            | Sale of security free of interest by certain parties (Part 5 of the Bill)   | 9               |
| 4.4            | Incorrect or missing serial numbers (section 86, first part)  | 10              |
| 4.5            | Pages 37 and 38: the "day and a half" rule from the <i>Chattel Securities Act 1987</i> (Vic)  | 11              |
| 4.6            | Pages 58 and 59: Policy considerations concerning requirement for security holder to re-register interest after transfer                              | 11              |
| 4.7            | Registration of interests against motor vehicles  | 12              |